



WLCSP xWave for high frequency wafer probe applications part 2

Jason Mroczkowski
Director, RF Product Development and Marketing
jason.mroczkowski@cohu.com

Abstract:

Today cmWave(3-30 GHz) and mmWave (30-300 GHz) applications have become mainstream. Packaging has become obsolete and wafers are becoming the new final test package. Testing automotive radar on wafer at 80 GHz and 150 degC was previously a fantasy, but is now a reality. With high power simulation tools and 110 GHz VNA's it's possible to design and fabricate hardware for these extremely high frequency, high temperature applications.

12367 Crosthwaite Circle
Poway, CA 92064-6817
Phone: (858) 848-8100
Fax: (858) 848-8185
www.cohu.com



SWTEST

PROBE TODAY, FOR TOMORROW

WLCSP xWave for high frequency wafer probe applications part 2



Jason Mroczkowski

Cohu Inc.

Nicolas Falcot

ST Microelectronics

June 2-5, 2019

Overview

- Objectives / Goals – Move from package test to wafer test
- Methods / Materials / Procedures – design considerations, mechanical simulation, electrical simulation, characterization
- Results / Relevant Findings / Key Data – tip design, force, insertion loss, impedance
- Customer Results/Feedback – Initial DC and RF test results
- Summary / Conclusion - viable cmWave and mmWave wafer level test solution
- Follow-On Work – Beta sites feedback

SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

xWave Platform for mmWave Package Test

- **Signal Integrity**


- Short impedance controlled coplanar waveguide (CPW)
- 1 transition between Tester and DUT (connector to Leadframe)
- DUT ball contacts CPW

- **Integrated Solution (PCB/Contactor in One)**


- Includes RF Path from Tester to DUT
- Pogo pins for Power and control signals

- **Production Package Test Solution**



- Robust Leadframe lasts Millions of cycles
- Mechanical assembly fully field maintainable
- Includes calibration kit (s-parameters)
- CTE matched materials for Tri Temp testing (-55 to 155°C)



Holes in PCB for cable connections



DUT Pocket




Jason Mroczkowski

SWTest | June 2-5, 2019


xWave Limitations for Wafer Test

- **Frame limits xWave solution to Package test**

- Leadframe sandwiched between top frame and connectors
- Top frame violates wafer infinite plane
- Flat leadframe shorts adjacent sites




How to make xWave compatible with Wafer Test?



SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

Objectives/Goals


- **Move xWave Technology from package test to wafer probe**
 - Move contact point of leadframe to infinite plane
 - Combine leadframe with fine pitch pogo technology
 - Reduce leadframe features to match bump pitch
 - Reduce leadframe force to limit contact marking on wafer bumps
 - Limit scrub to ensure no ball shear



SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

5

xWave Wafer Level Final Test




SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

6

xWave: Wafer Level Final Test


- **Signal Integrity**
 - Short impedance controlled coplanar waveguide (CPW)
 - 1 transition between Tester and DUT (connector to Leadframe)
 - DUT ball contacts CPW
- **Integrated Solution (PCB/Contactor in One)**
 - Includes entire RF Path from Tester to DUT
 - Pogo pins for Power and control signals
- **Production Package Test Solution**
 - Same robust leadframe lasts Millions of cycles
 - Mechanical assembly fully field maintainable
 - Includes calibration kit (s-parameters)
 - CTE matched materials for Tri Temp testing (-55 to 155°C)




SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

Move contact plane to infinite plane

- From Flat leadframe in DUT pocket to Angled leadframe at infinite plane



xWave Package Test





xWave Wafer Test

- From 0.5mm probe to 150um probe

SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

Probe comparison




- xWave Contactor Probe
- xWave Wafer Probe



SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

9

Electromagnetic Simulation






Dummy text
maximum 30
characters

SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

10

10

xWave Dual Site Probe Card Prototype RF Lab Measurement




Jason Mroczkowski

SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

S-parameter 150um xWave Prototype


- Low Linear Insertion Loss and Return Loss below -10dB to 60+GHz (leadframe + connector)



SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

xWave ProbeHead - 80GHz Absorber Termination



- 80GHz ADAS Quad Site Probe Head
- xWave Hybrid Coplanar waveguide and spring probe design
- 12 Absorber Terminated Leadframes (48 channels)
- No coax connectors
- PCB leadframe launch
- Turnkey Probe Head/ Probe Card
- Impedance controlled Leadframes (<10dB return loss 76GHz-81GHz)



SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

xWave Probe Head - Loadboard Side

- xWave CPW Loadboard termination



SWTest | June 2-5, 2019



WLCSP xWave Mechanical Design

- **Force**

- Leadframe – 8g @ 150um overdrive
- 250um leadframe and 300um probe travel
- Adjustable based on leadframe cross section and cantilever anchor point
- Sufficient force without spring damper

- **Thermal**

- Designed for Tri-Temp
- Same materials as standard xWave
- All materials are matched coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE)




SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

Xcerra Confidential: Shared Under NDA


WLCSP xWave Mechanical Design

- **BGA Contact feature**




- Leadframe - U shape edge contact to ball
- ~10um knife edge scrub
- Pogo – 4 point crown
- 250um compliance



Leadframe




probe



SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

16

Probe Head Planarity






SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

17

xWave ProbeHead - 30GHz 250um


- 30GHz SatComm Dual-Site Probe Head
- 2 RF ports per site on 2 replaceable LeadFrames
- 18 cViper 025 Probes/site



SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

Package Test and Wafer Test in One


- Same hardware can be used for both packaged test and wafer test
 - Manual Alignment Frame (MAF) attaches to Probe head to convert to final test
 - Manual Actuator (MA) attaches to MAF
 - Simple change over from Wafer to Packaged parts for QA or RMA's



SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

19

Customer Results: S11, S21 TDR 1 port AFR 0-35GHz



Correlates to Cohu Internal measurements



SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

20

Customer Results: First trials

• Day 1

- Probe setup OK(single only) 
 - Site and bump pitch/location is OK
- DC trials: both sites OK 
 - No overdrive needed to get contact
 - DC measures analysis on going for different overdrive steps
 - No obvious DC probe mark on bump, or very slight (probe camera)
- RF trials : site 1 OK 
 - Requires ~100um overdrive to get RF contact
 - Probe measured 55um difference height DC vs RF
 - Cohu expecting 60um overdrive RF vs DC for contact. Nominal 150um would be ok for most cases.
 - No obvious RF probe mark on bump, or very slight (probe camera)



SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

21


Customer Results DFT1 impedance: Existing vs Cohu

Existing probe card

Pos Y=-26, cleaning, 30 Run, OD=200

Cohu probe card

Pos Y=-98, cleaning, 30 Run, OD=190



Cleaning every 150 touch down
SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

22


Customer Results: RF measure: Existing vs Cohu

Existing probe card

Pos Y=-26, cleaning, 30 Run, OD=200

Cohu probe card

Pos Y=-74, cleaning, 30 Run, OD=150



Need of cleaning for RF measure

Cleaning every 150 touch down

- No impact of cleaning on RF
- Small drift seen on first runs (to be checked)

SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

23

Customer Results: Wrap-Up / X-Wave Pros & Cons

- Excellent Insertion Loss and Return Loss performance.
 - ☐ IL < 4dB @ 30GHz (including cable),
 - ☐ RL < 15dB @ 30GHz,
 - ☐ X-Wave design up to 110GHz.
- X-Wave is designed to reduce probe mark.
 - ☐ Avoid hitting center of ball,
 - ☐ May remove need for ball re-flow.
- Fully repairable on Field at low cost.
 - ☐ Part maintenance has been demo'ed.
- Good RF Repeatability
 - ☐ < 0.05dB over 30 program loops.
- Good RF Repeatability on multiple touch-down
 - ☐ About 0.2dB variation observed on 30 cycles.
- Capability to perform manual retest of singulated die.
 - ☐ Need microscope to insert the tiny device,
 - ☐ Good unit at first test.
- Probe core is more expensive than current solution.
- Lead-frame alignment is made manually (few tens of um).
 - ☐ Need to assess stability during prober operation,
 - ☐ Need to understand what it means for production.
- During trial a larger drift has been observed on DFT1 Impedance test.
 - ☐ When pogo hits multiple times at same place, the electrical contact is degraded,
 - ☐ Behavior seems no more true when prober steps or when pogo hit more the center of the ball.
 - ☐ Need more investigation.

SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

24

Summary/Conclusion


- Overcame infinite plane and force profile to take the mmWave technology from final test applications to wafer test.
- WLCSP test data shows same electrical and mechanical performance as package test data
- Customer trials shows positive results

SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

25

Next Steps

- Improve contrast on Calibration Substrate for better prober visibility
- Move leadframe first contact to same plane as pogo contact
- Standardize on pogo pin length
- Standardize on Leadframe geometry
- Develop internal array contact capability
- Standardize leadframe for lower COT



Author

SWTest | June 2-5, 2019

26